The first trialkylphosphane telluride complexes of Ag(I): molecular, ionic and supramolecular structural alternatives

Constantin Daniliuc, Christian Druckenbrodt, Cristian G. Hrib, Frank Ruthe, Armand Blaschette, Peter G. Jones and Wolf-W. du Mont*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 22nd January 2007, Accepted 14th March 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 11th April 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b700917h

The structures of the first phosphane telluride complexes of silver(1), obtained from *i*-Pr₃PTe (1) with AgNMs₂ [Ms = SO_2CH_3] and with AgSbF₆, reveal the superior coordinating ability of 1, particularly as a bridging ligand, compared with related *i*-Pr₃PS and *i*-Pr₃PSe ligands.

In view of the softness of tellurium and of the dipolar character of the P^+ -Te⁻ bond, phosphane tellurides R_3PTe should act towards soft metal ions as ligands that are superior to the well-established phosphane oxides, sulfides and selenides. There are, however, only a few trialkylphosphane telluride complexes known, and t-Bu₃PTeW(CO)₅ is the only one to be structurally characterized.¹ The tendency of R₃PTe to decompose in the presence of Lewis acids (by detelluration)² and their kinetic lability in the presence of free phosphanes (reversible Te transfer)³ has led to their use as carriers of zero valent tellurium,⁴ for instance in the formation of semiconductor materials⁵ or metal polytelluride ions such as $[AgTe_7]^{3-.6}$ In the latter case, however, the role of phosphane telluride silver complexes was not recognized. Anionic bidentate phosphane tellurides are less susceptible to detelluration than uncharged phosphane tellurides,7 and coinage metal complexes with $\{[R_2P(Te)]_2N\}^-$ ligands (R = *i*-Pr, Ph) were very recently reported by Chivers et al. at a scientific meeting.⁸

Our study on coinage metal chloride interactions with trialkylphosphane tellurides revealed that CuCl complexes with *i*-Pr₃PTe (1) and with *t*-Bu₃PTe are short-lived species that can be detected using ³¹P-NMR spectroscopy before they decompose by loss of tellurium, giving the stable complexes R₃PCuCl, whereas with the tetrahydrothiophene–AuCl complex, immediate precipitation of tellurium after addition of 1 leads to *i*-Pr₃PAuCl.⁹

The complex *t*-Bu₃PTeAgCl also decomposes within a short time, leading to *t*-Bu₃PAgCl, but with *i*-Pr₃PTe (1), after separation from a black residue, a solid yellow 1 : 1 complex can be characterized using elemental analyses and ³¹P-NMR spectroscopy, exhibiting ¹J(¹²⁵Te, ³¹P) satellites with magnitudes about 15% smaller than those of the free ligand.⁹

i-Pr₃PTe + CuCl → {*i*-Pr₃PTeCuCl} → *i*-Pr₃PCuCl + Te

t-Bu₃PTe + AgCl \rightarrow {t-Bu₃PTeAgCl} \rightarrow t-Bu₃PAgCl + Te i-Pr₃PTe + AgCl \rightarrow i-Pr₃PTeAgCl

i-Pr₃PTe + THT-AuCl → *i*-Pr₃PAuCl + Te + THT

Institut für Anorg. u. Analyt. Chemie der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Postfach 3329, D 38023 Braunschweig, Germany. E-mail: w.du-mont@tu-bs.de Our unsuccessful attempts to grow single crystals of *i*-Pr₃PTeAgCl and *i*-Pr₃PTeAgBr led us to use silver(I)–di(methyl-sulfonyl)amide [AgNMs₂]¹⁰ as an alternative substrate for *i*-Pr₃PTe. By mixing the appropriate amounts of **1** with AgNMs₂ in acetonitrile, a set of stable 1 : 1, 2 : 1 and 3 : 1 phosphane telluride silver complexes **2** (1 : 1), **3** (2 : 1) and **4** (3 : 1) became available.[†]



³¹P-NMR spectra of **2–4** and of mixtures of **2–4** with ligand **1** in CH₃CN or in CH₂Cl₂ solution show averaged singlet signals with satellites from ¹J(¹²⁵Te, ³¹P) coupling; splitting by coupling with ^{107,109}Ag does not occur. Consistent with the kinetic lability of all complexes with respect to Te–Ag coordination, whereby rapid Te–P bond breaking does not occur, the ¹²⁵Te-NMR spectra of **2–4** exhibit doublet signals, the magnitudes of ¹J(¹²⁵Te, ³¹P) coupling following the order **2** < **3** < **4** < **1**.

Complexes 2–4 were studied by single crystal X-ray diffraction.‡ The 1 : 1 complex 2 (Fig. 1) is an inversion-symmetric molecular dimer with bridging tellurium atoms from the two *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands; each Ag atom is also N-coordinated by a NMs_2^- anion (planar Ag, CN 3).⁹ Compared with the related *i*-Pr₃PS and *i*-Pr₃PSe complexes,¹¹ 2 exhibits shorter Ag···Ag contacts (by about 0.18 Å) and slightly longer Ag–N bonds.

The overall formula of the 2 : 1 complex **3** (Fig. 2) is that of an unsymmetric dimer that contains one terminally N-coordinated and one uncoordinated NMs_2^- anion. In the dinuclear cation, *one i*-Pr₃PTe bridges the two Ag atoms; Ag1 is 3-coordinated by the bridging and two terminal *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands, and Ag2 is 3-coordinated by the NMs_2^- anion, the bridging and one terminal *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands. This structure is very different from that of the



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of $[Ag_2(NMs_2)_2(i-Pr_3PTe)_2]$ (2). Selected distances (Å): Ag–Te 2.8117(6); Ag–Te#1 2.8024(6); Ag–N 2.258(3); Ag…Ag#1 2.9075(7).

related *i*-Pr₃PS 2 : 1 complex which crystallizes as a simple monomer with terminal *i*-Pr₃PS and NMs_2^{-1} ligands.¹¹

The 3 : 1 complex 4 consists of Ag^+ cations surrounded by three terminal *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands in a regular trigonal geometry;¹¹ this ionic structure with separated NMs_2^- anions is closely related to those of the corresponding *i*-Pr₃PS and *i*-Pr₃PSe complexes.¹¹ However, the structure of 4 and of its SbF₆ analogue are affected by disorder or twinning phenomena and the refinements are unsatisfactory. The P–Te bond distances in 2–4 are between 2.40 and 2.43 Å (1: 236.8 pm);¹ significant differences between terminal and bridging ligands are not observed.



Fig. 2 Cation of $[Ag_2(NMs_2)(i\cdot Pr_3PTe)_4]^+NMs_2^-\cdot CH_3CN$ (3). Hydrogen atoms, solvent and the uncoordinated NMs_2^- group are omitted for clarity. The isopropyl groups at P4 are disordered over two positions. Selected distances (Å): Ag1–Te1 2.7281(9); Ag1–Te2 2.7638(9); Ag1–Te3 2.7181(9); Ag2–Te2 2.7947(9); Ag2–Te4 2.6956(9); Ag2–N1 2.422(7); Ag2…O3 2.773(6); Ag1…Ag2 3.1437(11).



Fig. 3 One of two crystallographically independent cationic chains in $[Ag(i-Pr_3PTe)_2]SbF_6$ (5). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å): chain 1, not shown: Ag1–Te1 2.9359(7); Ag1–Te2 2.8557(7); Ag1–Te#1 2.7857(7); Ag1–Te#2 2.8036(7); chain 2: Ag2–Te3 2.7943(7); Ag2–Te4 2.7692(7); Ag2–Te#3 2.8740(7); Ag2–Te#4 2.9011(7).



Fig. 4 Weak cation–cation contacts in $[Ag(i-Pr_3PSe)_2]SbF_6$ (6). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å): Ag–Sel 2.5067(3); Ag–Se2 2.4975(3); Ag–Se#1 3.1201(3); Ag–Se#2 3.3206(3).

The structural features of **2** and **3** confirm that the ability of i-Pr₃PTe (1) to coordinate with Ag⁺ (in competition with anionic NMs₂⁻) is superior to that of i-Pr₃PS and i-Pr₃PSe. In the dimeric 1 : 1 complex **2**, coordinating **1** leads to a longer (weaker) Ag–N contact; in the dimeric 2 : 1 complex **3**, coordinating **1** expels one NMs₂⁻ ligand and the preferred CN 3 of Ag is retained by the bridging role of one the four *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands.

The special role of i-Pr₃PTe as a bridging ligand is even more evident in the 2 : 1 complex 5 with AgSbF₆ (Fig. 3).

In contrast to the related solid *i*-Pr₃PE complexes (E = S, Se), which are made up from cations $[Ag(i-Pr_3PE)_2]^+$ (linear E–Ag–E) exhibiting weak "step-like" cation–cation contacts (Fig. 4; 6: Ag–Se 2.49–2.50 Å; Ag···Se 3.12–3.32 Å),¹¹ [Ag(*i*-Pr₃PTe)₂]SbF₆ (5) contains a cationic coordination polymer¹² that involves a linear spirocyclic array of centrosymmetric four-membered Ag₂Te₂ rings (Ag–Te 2.769–2.901 Å).

All *i*-Pr₃PTe ligands in **5** are bridging and the Ag⁺ ions lie in a distorted tetrahedral environment of four trigonal-pyramidally coordinated Te atoms.

In summary, trialkylphosphane tellurides can act as excellent *soft bridging ligands*, which deserve particular interest beyond their role as sources of tellurium atoms.

Notes and references

† Selected spectroscopic data: 2: Yield 60.4%; found: C, 23.6; H, 4.8; N, 2.4. C₁₁H₂₇AgNO₄PS₂Te requires C, 23.3; H, 4.8; N, 2.5%; MS (FAB[NBA]): m/z 962 [{(*i*-Pr₃PTe)₂Ag₂NMs₂}⁺, 15%], 683 [{(*i*-Pr₃PTe)₂Ag}⁺, 88], 557 $\begin{array}{l} [\{(i\text{-}Pr_3\text{PTe})(i\text{-}Pr_3\text{P})\text{Ag}\}^+, 62], 397 \ [\{(i\text{-}Pr_3\text{PTe})\text{Ag}\}^+, 60], 267 \ [(i\text{-}Pr_3\text{P}\text{Ag})^+, 100]; \\ \delta_H \ (300.1 \ \text{MHz}; \ \text{CD}_3\text{CN}; \ \text{Me}_4\text{Si}) \ 2.84 \ (3H, \text{s}, \text{SC}H_3), 2.57 \ (1H, \text{d sept}, J_{\text{HH}} \ 7.1, J_{\text{HP}} \ 9.9, \ CH(\text{CH}_3)_2), 1.23 \ (6H, \text{dd}, J_{\text{HH}} \ 7.1, J_{\text{HP}} \ 17.4, \ \text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2); \\ \delta_C \ (75.4 \ \text{MHz}; \ \text{CD}_3\text{CN}; \ \text{Me}_4\text{Si}) \ 43.02 \ (\text{s}, \ \text{SCH}_3), 27.73 \ (\text{d}, J_{\text{CP}} \ 28.0, CH(\text{CH}_3)_2); 19.79 \ (\text{d}, J_{\text{CP}} \ 2.8, \ \text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2); \\ \delta_P \ (121.5 \ \text{MHz}; \ \text{CD}_3\text{CN}; \ 85\% - \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) \ 48.21 \ (\text{s}, J_{\text{P-125-Te}} \ 1418.6, J_{\text{P-123-Te}} \ 1176.5, J_{\text{PC}} \ 27.9); \\ \delta_{\text{Te}} \ (94.7 \ \text{MHz}; \text{CD}_3\text{CN}; \ \text{Me}_2\text{Te}) \ -825.18 \ (\text{d}, J_{\text{TeP}} \ 1419.5). \end{array}$

3: Yield 81.1%; found: C, 28.2; H, 5.9. $C_{20}H_{48}AgNO_4P_2S_2Te_2$ requires C, 28.1; H, 5.7%; MS (FAB[NBA]): m/z 683 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)_2Ag}⁺, 100%], 557 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)(*i*-Pr_3P)Ag}⁺, 30], 397 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)Ag}⁺, 42], 267 [(*i*-Pr_3PAg)⁺, 40]; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 2.75 (3H, s, SCH₃), 2.3–2.5 (1H, m, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.23 (6H, dd, J_{HH} 7.1, J_{HP} 17.3, CH(CH₃)₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.4 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 42.67 (s, SCH₃), 27.20 (d, J_{CP} 28.3, CH(CH₃)₂), 19.74 (d, J_{CP} 1.9, CH(CH₃)₂); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz; CD₃CN; 85%-H₃PO₄) 47.33 (s, J_{P-125-Te} 1451.6, J_{P-123-Te} 14204.0, J_{PC} 28.1); $\delta_{\rm Te}$ (94.7 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₂Te) – 860.74 (d, J_{TeP} 1451.9).

4: Yield 82%; found: C, 31.3; H, 5.9; N, 1.2; S, 5.2. $C_{29}H_{69}AgNO_4P_3S_2Te_3$ requires C, 30.5; H, 6.0; N, 1.2; S, 5.6%; MS (FAB[NBA]) m/z 685 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)_2Ag}⁺, 100%], 557 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)(*i*-Pr_3P)Ag}⁺, 16], 397 [{(*i*-Pr_3PTe)Ag}⁺, 40], 267 [(*i*-Pr_3PAg)⁺, 30]; δ_H (300 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 2.72 (3H, s, SCH₃), 2.41 (1H, d sept, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.20 (6H, dd, J_{HH} 7.1, J_{HP} 17.1, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_C (75.4 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 42.62 (s, SCH₃), 27.20 (d, J_{CP} 2.8, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_P (121.5 MHz; CD₃CN; 85%-H₃PO₄) 45.47 (s, J_{P-125-Te} 1498.6, J_{P-123-Te} 1246.1, J_{PC} 27.9); δ_{Te} (94.7 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₂Te) -892.45 (d, J_{TeP} 1501.7).

5: Yield 84.6%; found: C, 23.5; H, 4.6. $C_{18}H_{42}AgF_6P_2SbTe_2$ requires C, 23.7; H, 4.5%; MS (FAB[NBA]) *mlz* 683 [{(*i*-Pr₃PTe)₂Ag}⁺, 100], 557 [{(*i*-Pr₃PTe)(*i*-Pr₃P)Ag}⁺, 12], 397 [{(*i*-Pr₃PTe)Ag}⁺, 35]; δ_{H} (300 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 2.54 (1H, d sept, *J*_{HH} 7.1, *J*_{HP} 9.9, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.28 (6H, dd, *J*_{HH} 7.1, *J*_{HP} 16.8, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_{C} (75.4 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 2.71 (CH₃)₂), 19.68 (d, *J*_{CP} 2.8, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_{P} (121.5 MHz; CD₃CN; 85%-H₃PO₄) 48.20 (s, *J*_{PL125Te} 1434.8, *J*_{PC} 28.7); δ_{Te} (94.7 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₂Te) -848.20 (d, *J*_{TeP} 1435.6).

6: Yield 91.5%; found: C, 26.3; H, 5.1. $C_{18}H_{42}AgF_6P_2SbSe_2$ requires C, 26.4; H, 5.1%; MS (FAB[NBA]) *mlz* 587 [{(*i*-Pr_3PSe)_2Ag}⁺, 100], 347 [{(*i*-Pr_3PSe)_Ag}⁺, 64]; δ_{H} (200 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 2.85 (3H, s, SCH₃), 2.53 (1H, d sept, J_{HH} 7.1, J_{HP} 10.8, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.29 (6H, dd, J_{HH} 7.1, J_{HP} 16.9, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_C (50.2 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₄Si) 42.64 (s, SCH₃), 27.63 (d, J_{CP} 36.4, CH(CH₃)₂), 18.34 (d, J_{CP} 2.8, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_P (81.0 MHz; CD₃CN; 85%-H₃PO₄) 73.70 (s, J_{PSe} 579.1, J_{PC} 36.1); δ_{Se} (38.1 MHz; CD₃CN; Me₂Se) -466.70 (d, J_{SeP} 579.8).

‡ Crystal data: **2**: C₂₂H₅₄Ag₂N₂O₈P₂S₄Te₂; $M_r = 1135.8$, monoclinic, space group P2₁/n, a = 11.7663(15), b = 7.794(2), c = 21.802(4) Å, $\beta = 105.457(15)^\circ$, V = 1927.1(6) Å³, Z = 2, T = 143 K, $\mu = 2.841$ mm⁻¹; pale yellow block. Of 3602 reflections measured, 3396 were independent ($R_{int} = 0.0208$). Final R1 = 0.0359, wR2 = 0.0594 (all data).

3 (acetonitrile solvate): $C_{42}H_{99}Ag_2N_3O_8P_4S_4Te_4$; $M_r = 1752.50$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 11.4760(15), b = 15.363(3), c = 38.378(3) Å, $\beta = 93.962(10)^\circ$, V = 6749.9(15) Å³, Z = 4, T = 143 K, $\mu = 2.536$ mm⁻¹; pale yellow block. Of 12 445 reflections measured, 11 815 were independent ($R_{int} = 0.0387$). Final R1 = 0.0838, wR2 = 0.1086 (all data).

5: C₁₈H₄₂AgF₆P₂SbTe₂; M_r = 919.28, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, *a* = 28.5566(15), *b* = 8.4221(5), *c* = 28.2425(15) Å, *β* = 118.884(1)°, *V* = 5947.5(6) Å³, *Z* = 8 (monomers), *T* = 143 K, μ = 3.640 mm⁻¹; yellow

prism. Of 107 897 reflections measured, 15 108 were independent ($R_{int} = 0.0850$). Final R1 = 0.0910, wR2 = 0.0957 (all data).

6: C₁₈H₄₂AgF₆P₂SbSe₂; M_r = 822.00, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, *a* = 8.6889(6), *b* = 23.6812(16), *c* = 13.6253(10) Å, β = 92.965(3)°, *V* = 2799.8(3) Å³, *Z* = 4, *T* = 143 K, μ = 4.423 mm⁻¹; colourless prism. Of 59 721 reflections measured, 8562 were independent (R_{int} = 0.0748). Final R1 = 0.0341, wR2 = 0.0709 (all data).

Data were recorded using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) on a Stoe STADI-4 (**2**, **3**; $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 50°) or Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer (**5**, **6**; $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 56°, 61°). Refinements were performed with the program SHELXL-97.¹³ CCDC 611403 (**2**), 611440 (**3**), 636033 (**5**), 636034 (**6**). For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format, see DOI: 10.1039/b700917h

- (a) N. Kuhn, H. Schumann and G. Wolmershäuser, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1595–1597; (b) N. Kuhn and H. Schumann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 541–544; (c) N. Kuhn, G. Henkel, H. Schumann and R. Fröhlich, Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci., 1990, 45, 1010–1018.
- 2 A. M. Brodie, G. A. Rodley and C. J. Wilkins, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1969, 2927–2929.
- 3 (a) W.-W. du Mont and H. J. Kroth, J. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 113, C35; (b) W.-W. du Mont, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem., 1985, 40, 1453–1456.
- 4 W.-W. du Mont, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1980, 19, 554-555.
- 5 M. L. Steigerwald and C. R. Sprinkle, Organometallics, 1988, 7, 245–246.
- 6 D. M. Smith, L. C. Roof, M. A. Ansari, J. M. McConnachie, J. C. Bollinger, M. A. Pell, R. J. Salm and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 4999–5006.
- 7 M. Lusser and P. Peringer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **127**, 151–152.
- 8 (a) T. Chivers, 11th International Symposium on Inorganic Ring Systems, Oulu, Finland, 2006, Abstract L5; (b) T. Chivers, J. Konu, J. S. Ritch, M. C. Copsey, D. J. Eisler and H. M. Tuononen, J. Organomet. Chem, 2007, DOI: 10.1016/j.jorganchem.2006.11.029; (c) M. C. Copsey, A. Panneerselvam, M. Afzaal, T. Chivers and P. O'Brien, Dalton Trans., 2007, 1528–1538.
- 9 C. Druckenbrodt, PhD thesis, TU Braunschweig, 2000; C. Druckenbrodt and W.-W. du Mont, 34th International Conference on Coordination Chemistry, Edinburgh, UK, 2000, poster abstract P0285.
- 10 E.-M. Zerbe, P. G. Jones, O. Moers and A. Blaschette, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2005, 631, 2623–2628.
- 11 C. Daniliuc, PhD thesis, TU Braunschweig, 2007 (in preparation); W.-W. du Mont, C. Daniliuc, C. Druckenbrodt and J. Jeske, 2nd JSPS Core to Core Meeting: Innovative Synthesis of Novel Main-Group Compounds and Its Application, JSPS, Tokyo, 2006.
- 12 Related polymeric cations with thioamide-like ligands: (a) J. Sola, A. Lopez, R. A. Coxall and W. Clegg, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 4871–4881; (b) M. Yazdanbakhsh, M. Hakimi, M. M. Heravi, M. Ghassemzadeh and B. Neumüller, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2005, 631, 924–927.
- 13 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for refinement of crystal structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.